UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,895	03/19/2004	Akira Oosawa	Q80309	8054
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/16/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	WANG, CLAIRE X		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/803,895	OOSAWA, AKIRA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CLAIRE WANG	2624		

	CLAIRE WANG	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nlianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two ments	a af tha data af				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A brief in com- filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	тинов и отом от отручительного						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-18</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-7, 9-70.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	ry and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bear linerage to applicant's remark that "Paragios provide images provide the benfit of a strong diagnosite power."	es merely a method for segmenting	cardiac images. Such	segmentaed				
actual boundaries of the inner and out wallsof the my left ventricle of the heart are being determined." It is not within the skilled in the art because the elements are known actually teaches away from the combination of	rocardium of the left ventricle." Thus oted that using the boundary extracti nown and the result is predictable.	, the boundaries of a s on of Parogios in light In response to applica	segment of the t of Takeo is int's remark that				
argument has no evidence for support. In response to second region are not analyzed separately". It is noted	applicant's remark regarding claim that Takeo teaches the picture elen	4, that "the area in the nent of interest, and th	donute shaped				
picture elements, which are associated with the maximum value is counted (Col. 19, lines 24-29)							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Matthew C Bella/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080401

Application No.